

Journal of GeoEngineering

Editorial Committee

Manuscript Review Form: Reviewer A

| Title: Authors: Number: | | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Type: \Box full paper (technical) | | full pa | per (case history) | \Box note |
| | DI | ETAILED ASS | ESSMENT | |
| How complete is | the work in des | scribing general lag | yout, setup or constru | action sequence? |
| □ Excellent | \Box Good | 🗆 Fair | \Box Poor | \Box N/A |
| How complete is the work in documenting soil condition and geological profile? | | | | |
| □ Excellent | \Box Good | □ Fair | \Box Poor | |
| How well does the work document qualitative observations, e.g. failure description? | | | | |
| □ Excellent | \Box Good | 🗆 Fair | \Box Poor | \Box N/A |
| How well does the work document quantitative observations, e.g. monitoring data? | | | | |
| □ Excellent | \Box Good | 🗆 Fair | \Box Poor | \Box N/A |
| How satisfactory | is the work in p | providing explanat | ions regarding groun | d response with the |
| above observation | ns? | | | |
| □ Excellent | \Box Good | 🗆 Fair | \Box Poor | \Box N/A |
| How do you rate | the value of the | e case histories? | | |
| □ Very valuable | □ Valuable | 🗆 Fair | \Box Not valuable | 2 |
| Is the paper clearly written and organized in a sensible and logical fashion? | | | | |
| \Box Yes | \Box No | | | |
| Is the paper unne | cessarily long? | Is the title too long | g? | |
| □ Yes | \Box No | | | |
| Are the title, abstract and conclusion appropriate? | | | | |
| □ Yes | \square No | | | |
| | | | | |

EVALUATION

□ Average

 \Box Above average

□ Excellent



Journal of GeoEngineering

Editorial Committee

RECOMMENDATION

- \Box Accepted in the present form
- \Box Accepted with suggested revisions
- \Box Accepted with required revisions (re-review)
- \Box Decline with encouragement for resubmission
- □ Decline

COMMENTS (a separate sheet is highly recommended)

SIGNATURE

Reviewer:

Affiliation:

Date: